

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Edward J. Crawford

Serial No.: 10/664,073

Filed: September 17, 2003

For: FIB/RIE METHOD FOR IN-LINE CIRCUIT MODIFICATION OF
MICROELECTRONIC CHIPS CONTAINING ORGANIC DIELECTRIC

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450



Group Art Unit: 1765

Examiner: Tran, B. X.

**PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.181 THAT A COMPLETE
RESPONSE BE PROVIDED
IN ACCORDANCE WITH MPEP §707.07(f)**

Sir:

Applicants respectfully petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.181 that the USPTO provide a complete response, in accordance with MPEP §707.07(f), to Applicant's response in the Preliminary Amendment, filed September 17, 2003, in the above-identified Application, and as initially submitted in the Amendment under 37 CFR §1.116, filed on May 23, 2003, for parent Application, S/N 09/759,101, now US Patent No. 6,653,240.

The Examiner declined to enter the After-Final Amendment for the parent Application and the Office Action for the present Application, a continuation application of the parent, that was mailed on August 12, 2005, fails to make any response or even recognition of these arguments. It is further noted that the present continuation Application, S/N 10/664,073, was necessitated by the Examiner's refusal to respond to these arguments for the parent application.

A complete response to this Office Action mailed on August 12, 2005, has been filed concurrently with this Petition as an Amendment Under 37 CFR §1.111.

However, because the Examiner continues to ignore the previous arguments presented during earlier prosecution, in order to preclude any more undue delays in prosecution, Applicant petitions that the USPTO provide a complete response to the Applicant's previous arguments for the above-identified Application, either in the next Office Action (which next Office Action Applicant submits cannot be made a Final Rejection because Applicant's argument has clearly been ignored in all rejections to date) responding to the concurrently-filed Amendment Under 37 CFR §1.111 or by updating the Office Action mailed on August 12, 2005, as revised to take these arguments into account.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 11/14/05



Frederick E. Cooperrider
Reg. No. 36, 769

McGinn Intellectual Property Law Group, PLLC
8321 Old Courthouse Rd., Suite 200
Vienna, VA 22182-3817
(703) 761-4100
Customer No. 21254